VOLUME II - EIS AND APPENDICES

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

SPOKANE TRIBE OF INDIANS

WEST PLAINS CASINO AND
MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

JANUARY 25, 2013

Lead Agency:

Bureau of Indian Affairs
Northwest Regional Office
911 Northeast 11th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97232
(503) 231-6702

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

SPOKANE TRIBE OF INDIANS

WEST PLAINS CASINO AND
MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT

VOLUME II - EIS AND APPENDICES

JANUARY 25, 2013

Lead Agency:
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Northwest Regional Office
911 Northeast 11th Avenue
Portland, Oregon 97232
(503) 231-6702

Prepared By:
Analytical Environmental Services
1801 7th Street, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95811
(916) 447-3479
www.analyticalcorp.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SPOKANE TRIBE OF INDIANS
WEST PLAINS CASINO AND MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT
FINAL EIS

VOLUME I – RESPONSE TO COMMENTS

1.0 Introduction 1-1
2.0 Comment Letters 2-1
3.0 General Response to Comments 3-1
4.0 Response to Comments 4-1

VOLUME II – FINAL EIS AND APPENDICES

ES EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1 Introduction i
ES.2 Purpose and Need i
ES.3 Summary of the Proposed Action and Alternatives ii
ES.4 Areas of Controversy iii
ES.5 Summary Matrix iv

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Summary of the Proposed Action and EIS Process 1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need 1-2
1.3 Overview of the Environmental Review Process 1-4
1.4 Scoping 1-5
1.5 Agreements with State and Local Governments 1-5
1.5.1 Tribal-State Compact for Class III Gaming 1-5
1.5.2 Intergovernmental Agreement 1-6
1.5.3 Memorandum of Agreement 1-7
1.5.4 Interlocal Agreement 1-8
1.6 Regulatory Requirements, Permits and Approvals 1-8

2.0 ALTERNATIVES

2.1 Introduction 2-1
2.2 Project Location 2-1
2.3 Project Alternatives 2-1
2.3.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Used Development 2-1
2.3.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 2-14
2.3.3 Alternative 3 – Non Gaming Mixed-Use Development 2-18
2.3.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 2-22

2.4 Alternatives Eliminated from Consideration 2-22
2.5 Comparison of Alternatives 2-24
2.5.1 Summary of Alternatives 2-24
2.5.2 Comparison of Environmental and Economic Consequences 2-25
2.6 Selection of Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino And Mixed Use Development Project 2-26

3.0 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

3.1 Introduction 3.1-1
3.2 Geology and Soils 3.2-1
3.2.1 Setting 3.2-1
3.3 Water Resources 3.3-1
3.3.1 Surface Water 3.3-1
3.3.2 Groundwater 3.3-6
3.4 Air Quality 3.4-1
3.4.1 Regulatory Context 3.4-1
3.4.2 Existing Air Quality 3.4-10
3.5 Biological Resources 3.5-1
3.5.1 Regulatory Setting 3.5-1
3.5.2 Environmental Setting 3.5-2
3.6 Cultural and Paleontological Resources 3.6-1
3.6.1 Regulatory Setting 3.6-1
3.6.2 Cultural Setting 3.6-3
3.6.3 Findings 3.6-5
3.6.4 Native American Consultation 3.6-6
3.6.5 Paleontological Resources 3.6-6
3.7 Socioeconomic Conditions 3.7-1
3.7.1 Socioeconomic Characteristics of the Spokane Tribe of Indians 3.7-1
3.7.2 Socioeconomic Characteristics of Spokane County 3.7-2
3.7.3 Environmental Justice 3.7-5
3.8 Transportation/Circulation 3.8-1
3.8.1 Existing Circulation Network 3.8-1
3.8.2 Level of Service Standards 3.8-3
3.8.3 Transit Services 3.8-8
3.8.4 Bike and Pedestrian Facilities 3.8-8
3.9 Land Use 3.9-1
3.9.1 Regional and Local Setting 3.9-1
3.9.2 Project Site and Vicinity Land Use Setting 3.9-1
3.9.3 Agriculture 3.9-14
3.10 Public Services 3.10-1
3.10.1 Water Supply 3.10-1
3.10.2 Wastewater Collection and Treatment 3.10-2
3.10-3 Solid Waste Service 3.10-3
3.10-4 Law Enforcement 3.10-4
3.10-5 Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services 3.10-5
3.10-6 Electricity and Natural Gas 3.10-6
3.11 Noise 3.11-1

3.11.1 Acoustical Background and Terminology 3.11-1
3.11.2 Regulatory Setting 3.11-5
3.11.3 Existing Noise and Vibration Levels 3.11-7
3.12 Hazardous Materials 3.12-1
3.12.1 Introduction....3.12-1
3.12.2 Existing Conditions....3.12-1
3.12.3 Database Report....3.12-2
3.13 Aesthetics....3.13-1
3.13.1 Regulatory Setting 3.13-1
3.13.2 Environmental Setting 3.13-2

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

4.1 Introduction....4.1-1
4.2 Geology and Soils....4.2-1
4.2.1 Alternative 1 - Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Project 4.2-1
4.2.2 Alternative 2– Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.2-2
4.2.3 Alternative 3– Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development....4.2-4
4.2.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.2-5
4.3 Water Resources 4.3-1
4.3.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino Resort and Mixed-Use Development....4.3-1
4.3.2 Alternative 2– Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.3-3
4.3.3 Alternative 3– Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development....4.3-4
4.3.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.3-4
4.4 Air Quality 4.4-1
4.4.1 Methodology....4.4-1
4.4.2 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino Resort and Mixed-Use Development....4.4-3
4.4.3 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.4-6
4.4.4 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development....4.4-8
4.4.5 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.4-10
4.5 Biological Resources 4.5-1
4.5.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.5-1
4.5.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.5-2
4.5.3 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development....4.5-3
4.5.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.5-4
4.6 Cultural and Paleontological Resources 4.6-1
4.6.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.6-1
4.6.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.6-1
4.6.3 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development....4.6-2
4.6.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.6-3
4.7 Socioeconomic Conditions 4.7-1
4.7.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.7-2
4.7.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.7-14
4.7.3 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development....4.7-19
4.7.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.7-23
4.8 Transportation/Circulation....4.8-1
4.8.1 Analysis Methodology....4.8-1

4.8.2 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.8-6
4.8.3 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.8-11
4.8.4 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development 4.8-14
4.8.5 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.8-17
4.9 Land Use 4.9-1
4.9.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.9-1
4.9.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.9-10
4.9.3 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development 4.9-11
4.9.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.9-12
4.10 Public Services 4.10-1
4.10.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.10-1
4.10.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.10-6
4.10.3 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development 4.10-9
4.10.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.10-13
4.11 Noise 4.11-1
4.11.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino Resort and Mixed-Use Development 4.11-1
4.11.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.11-6
4.11.3 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development 4.11-8
4.11.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.11-9
4.12 Hazardous Materials 4.12-1
4.12.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.12-1
4.12.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.12-2
4.12.3 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development 4.12-3
4.12.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/Development 4.12-3
4.13 Aesthetics 4.13-1
4.13.1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.13-1
4.13.2 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.13-4
4.13.3 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed-Use Development 4.13-5
4.13.4 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.13-6
4.14 Indirect and Growth-Inducing Effects 4.14-1
4.14.1 Indirect Effects from Off-site Traffic Mitigation 4.14-1
4.14.2 Indirect Effects from Water/Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements 4.14-8
4.14.3 Growth Inducing Effects 4.14-13
4.15 Cumulative Effects 4.15-1
4.15.1 Introduction 4.15-1
4.15.2 Cumulative Setting 4.15-1
4.15.3 Alternative 1- Proposed Casino and Mixed-Used Development 4.15-2
4.15.4 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 4.15-19
4.15.5 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming and Mixed-Use Development 4.15-25
4.15.6 Alternative 4 – No Action/No Development 4.15-32

5.0 MITIGATION MEASURES

5.1 Introduction 5-1
5.2 Mitigation Measures 5-1
5.2.1 Geology and Soils 5-1
5.2.2 Water Resources 5-2

5.2.3 Air Quality 5-3
5.2.4 Biological Resources 5-6
5.2.5 Cultural and Paleontological Resources 5-6
5.2.6 Socioeconomic Conditions 5-7
5.2.7 Transportation/Circulation 5-9
5.2.8 Land Use Compatibility 5-20
5.2.9 Public Services 5-22
5.2.10 Noise 5-23
5.2.11 Hazardous Materials 5-23
5.2.12 Aesthetics 5-24

6.0 CONSULTATION AND COORDINATION/LIST OF PREPARERS 6-1
6.1 Lead Agency 6-1
6.2 Cooperating Agencies 6-1
6.3 Federal Agencies 6-2
6.4 State and Local Agencies and Utilities 6-2
6.5 Environmental Consultants 6-3

7.0 ACRONYMS 7-1

8.0 REFERENCES 8-1

LIST OF TABLES

ES-1 Summary of Potential Environmental Effects and Mitigation Measures v
1-1 Potential Permits and Approvals Required 1-8
2-1 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino-Resort and Mixed-Use Project 2-6
2-2 Alternative 1 & 2 – Potable Water/Wastewater Demand Estimates 2-11
2-3 Alternative 1 – Phasing Schedule 2-13
2-4 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed-Use Development 2-14
2-5 Alternative 3 – Mixed-Use Development 2-19
2-6 Alternative 3 – Potable Water/Wastewater Demand Estimates 2-21
3.2-1 Site Soil Properties 3.2-5
3.2-2 Modified Mercalli Intensity Scale 3.2-9
3.3-1 Washington State Water Quality Objectives for WRIA 54 ....3.3-5
3.3-2 Groundwater Quality in City Wells 3.3-7
3.4-1 National Ambient Air Quality Standards 3.4-3
3.4-2 Spokane County 2008 Emissions Inventory Summary 3.4-11
3.4-3 Spokane County Air Quality Monitoring Data 3.4-11
3.4-4 Spokane County Attainment Status 3.4-12
3.5-1 Summary of Terrestrial and Aquatic Habitat Types 3.5-3
3.5-2 Federal Listed Special Status Species that Have the Potential to Occur Within Spokane County 3.5-8
3.7-1 Spokane Tribe Demographic Estimates 3.7-1
3.7-2 Regional Population 3.7-2
3.7-3 Regional Housing 3.7-3

3.7-4 Regional Labor Force Estimates (2009) 3.7-3
3.7-5 Largest Employers in Spokane County....3.7-4
3.7-6 Minority Population – Project Site and Nearby Census Tracts....3.7-8
3.7-7 Household Income – Project Site and Nearby Census Tracts....3.7-9
3.8-1 Intersection Level of Service Criteria....3.8-4
3.8-2 Existing PM Peak Hour Conditions....3.8-5
3.9-1 Applicable Fairchild JLUS Strategies....3.9-11
3.10-1 Reported Crimes in 2009 ....3.10-5
3.11-1 Acoustical Terminology....3.11-2
3.11-2 Typical A-Weighted Sound Levels....3.11-3
3.11-3 Federal Noise Abatement Criteria Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level Decibels 3.11-6
3.11-4 Maximum Ambient Permissible Noise Levels (dBA, Leq) by Class 3.11-7
3.11-5 Summary of 24-Hour and 15-Minute Noise Level Measurements....3.11-9
3.11-6 Highest Sound Exposure Level Noise Modeled at Project Site....3.11-10
4.4-1 Construction Emissions – Alternative 1....4.4-4
4.4-2 Operation Emissions – Alternative 1 ....4.4-5
4.4-3 Construction Emissions – Alternative 2....4.4-7
4.4-4 Operation Emissions – Alternative 2 ....4.4-7
4.4-5 Unmitigated Construction Emissions – Alternative 3....4.4-8
4.4-6 Unmitigated Operation Emissions – Alternative 3 ....4.4-9
4.5-1 Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative 1 ....4.5-1
4.5-2 Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative 2 ....4.5-2
4.5-3 Anticipated Effects to Habitat Types – Alternative 3 ....4.5-3
4.7-1 One-Time Construction Economic Impact (Millions) 4.7-2
4.7-2 Annual Operational Economic Impact (Millions) 4.7-3
4.7-3 Tax Revenues (Millions)....4.7-6
4.7-4 One-Time Construction Employment and Wage Impacts 4.7-7
4.7-5 Typical Tribal Casino Employment Opportunities....4.7-7
4.7-6 Annual Operational Employment and Wage Impacts....4.7-8
4.7-7 Projected Spokane County Labor Market....4.7-9
4.7-8 Projected 2013 Housing Market 4.7-9
4.8-1 Future Baseline Without Project LOS 4.8-5
4.8-2 Alternative 1 Peak Hour Trip Generation....4.8-7
4.8-3 Baseline Plus Alternative 1 Intersection LOS....4.8-9
4.8-4 Alternative 2 Peak Hour Trip Generation....4.8-12
4.8-5 Baseline Plus Alternative 2 Intersection LOS....4.8-13
4.8-6 Alternative 3 Peak Hour Trip Generation....4.8-15
4.8-7 Baseline Plus Alternative 3 Intersection LOS 4.8-16
4.9-1 Consistency with Applicable Fairchild JLUS Strategies 4.9-5
4.9-2 Consistency with Applicable County and City Airport Overlay Zone Policies....4.9-8
4.10-1 Alternative 1 – Total Demand Summary for Buildout (GPM) 4.10-1
4.10-2 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative 1 ....4.10-4
4.10-3 Alternative 2 – Total Demand Summary for Buildout (GPM) 4.10-6
4.10-4 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative 2 ....4.10-8
4.10-5 Alternative 3 – Total Demand Summary for Buildout (GPM) 4.10-10
4.10-6 Estimated Solid Waste Disposal – Alternative 3 ....4.10-12
4.11-1 Typical Construction Noise Levels....4.11-2

4.11-2 Reference and Predicted PPV from Construction of Phases I, II and III 4.11-3
4.14-1 Alternative 1 Intersection Improvements 4.14-3
4.15-1 2032 Operation Emissions – Alternative 1 ..... 4.15-4
4.15-2 Greenhouse Gas CO_2 Equivalent 4.15-6
4.15-3 Alternative 1 Project-Related GHG Emissions 4.15-7
4.15-4 Consistency With State Emissions Reduction Strategies 4.15-8
4.15-5 2032 Cumulative Without Project Conditions – PM Peak Hour 4.15-11
4.15-6 2032 Cumulative With Project Conditions – Alternative 1 ..... 4.15-12
4.15-7 2032 Operation Emissions – Alternative 2 ..... 4.15-20
4.15-8 Alternative 2 Project-Related GHG Emissions 4.15-21
4.15-9 2032 Cumulative With Project Conditions – Alternative 2 ..... 4.15-23
4.15-10 2032 Operation Emissions – Alternative 3 ..... 4.15-26
4.15-11 Alternative 3 Project-Related GHG Emissions 4.15-27
4.15-12 2032 Cumulative With Project Conditions – Alternative 3 ..... 4.15-30

LIST OF FIGURES

2-1 Regional Location 2-2
2-2 Site and Vicinity 2-3
2-3 Aerial Photograph 2-4
2-4 Alternative 1 – Proposed Casino and Mixed Use Development 2-7
2-5 Alternative 1 – Architectural Rendering 2-8
2-6 Alternative 2 – Reduced Casino and Mixed Use Development 2-15
2-7 Alternative 3 – Non-Gaming Mixed Use Development Project 2-20
3.2-1 Geology Map 3.2-2
3.2-2 Soils Map 3.2-4
3.3-1 Watershed Map 3.3-2
3.3-2 FEMA Flood Zones 3.3-4
3.4-1 Spokane PM10 and CO Maintenance Areas 3.4-5
3.5-1 Habitat Types 3.5-4
3.5-2 Photographs of Habitat Types and Wetland Features 3.5-5
3.7-1 Census Tracts 3.7-7
3.8-1a Existing Transportation Network and Study Intersections 3.8-6
3.8-1b Existing Transportation Network and Study Intersections 3.8-7
3.9-1 Spokane County Zoning 3.9-2
3.9-2 City of Airway Heights Zoning 3.9-3
3.9-3 City of Airway Heights Comprehensive Plan 3.9-5
3.9-4 Department of Defense APZs 3.9-7
3.9-5 Land Use Compatibility 3.9-8
3.11-1 Noise Monitoring Sites 3.11-8
3.13-1 Viewshed Locations 3.13-4
3.13-2 Viewshed Location Photographs 3.13-5
4.14-1 Proposed Road Improvements 4.14-2
4.14-2 Proposed Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements 4.14-9

APPENDICES

* Appendices printed in gray text (A-P) are attached to the Draft EIS dated January 10, 2012 (published in March 2012) and are electronically available at http://www.westplainseis.com/documents/draft-eis/index.html or by written request. Appendices printed in black text (Q-Y) are attached to this Final EIS.

Included as Appendices to the Draft EIS:

Appendix A	Spokane Tribe of Indians Unmet Needs Report
Appendix B	Notices
Appendix C	State and Local Agreements
Appendix D	Traffic Impact Analysis
Appendix E	Water and Wastewater Demand Analysis Report
Appendix F	Grading and Drainage Analysis Report
Appendix G	Economic Background Study and Competitive Effects Analysis
Appendix H	Biological Resources Information
Appendix I	Cultural Resources Documentation
Appendix J	Economic Impact and Growth Inducing Study
Appendix K	FAA Determination of No Hazards to Air Navigation
Appendix L	Inland Power and Light Will Serve Letter
Appendix M	Avista Utilities Will Serve Letter
Appendix N	Noise Measurement Output Files
Appendix O	EDR Report
Appendix P	Air Quality Modeling Output Files and Calculation Tables

Included as Appendices to the Final EIS:

Appendix Q	Draft EIS Comment Letters Log
Appendix R	Traffic Impact Analysis Addendum 2
Appendix S	FAA Determination of No Hazards to Air Navigation Extension
Appendix T	Revised Air Quality Modeling Output Files and Calculation Tables
Appendix U	West Plains Retail Development Opportunities: Airway Heights, Washington Primary Market Assessment
Appendix V	Response to Draft EIS Comments by Kalispel Tribe: West Plains Mixed Use Development
Appendix W	Spokane Tribal Resolution Supporting Fairchild AFB Operations
Appendix X	Cultural Resources Consultation Letters
Appendix Y	Focused Botanical Survey Results